UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,638	02/24/2005	02/24/2005 Martin Hofmeister		2118
· · · · -	7590 10/12/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN L	EXAMINER		
	ER DRIVE, SUITE 6300	DESTA, ELIAS		
CHICAGO, IL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/525,638	HOFMEISTER, MARTIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elias Desta	2857	

	·	Elias Desta		2857	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	EPLY FILED 27 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
· 1	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliancing periods:	ving replies: (1) an ar tice of Appeal (with a	mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [b) [The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) thater than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BOX 06.07(f).	from the mailing ((b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have b under (set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exist CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresp hortened statutory peri- than three months afte	onding amount od for reply original	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
1	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CF)	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	DMENTS				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) \(\subseteq \) They raise new issues that would require further co b) \(\subseteq \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or se w);	earch (see NO	TE below);	
	 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal b	y materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for
	d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		er of finally rej	ected claims.	
_	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1				
5. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:·		·	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie,ii submitted	in a separate,	umely liled amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is profibe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 5, 10-13, 18-20 and 25-34</u> .				
. 1	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ar an tha da	to of filing a N	ation of Appeal will pe	at he entered
ļ	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons v	why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
:	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to dehowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariate.	vercome <u>all</u> rejection and was not earlier	ns under apper presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the	e application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper N	No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: because: because: the added limitations raise new issue that would require further consideration and search to establish patentability. For instance, in claims 1 and 25 now include having "modulated signal in the time domain", removing "all negative frequency samples or all positive frequency samples" and "output to the display unit an envelope curve in the time domain..."

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER